

Original Article

Effectiveness of Nurse-Led Motivational Interviewing on Self-Management and Self-Care in Patients with Chronic Diseases: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials

Darya Esmaeilbeigi¹, Saba Kalantari¹, Mahtab Aligholipour², Razie Alipour³,
Maryam Mohammadi³, Sepideh Nasser⁴, Vesal Karimiaslchors⁵, Zahra Khezerlou^{1*}

¹ Medical Surgical Nursing Department, Nursing and Midwifery School, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

² Emergency and Critical Care Nursing Department, Nursing and Midwifery School, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

³ Pediatric Nursing Department, Nursing and Midwifery School, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

⁴ Leeds Community NHS Foundation Trust, Leeds, United Kingdom

⁵ Hospall Private Home Care, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Received: 20 Nov. 2025

Revised: 8 Jan. 2026

Accepted: 05 Feb. 2026

Published: 20 Mar. 2026

Article Info

Keywords:

Motivational Interviewing,
Self-Management,
Self-Care,
Chronic Diseases,
Nurse-Led.

*Corresponding author:

Zahra Khezerlou

Medical Surgical Nursing
Department, Nursing
and Midwifery School, Zanjan
University of Medical Sciences,
Zanjan, Iran.

Email:

zahrakhezerloo8@gmail.com

How to Cite This Article:

Esmaeilbeigi D, Kalantari S,
Aligholipour M, Alipour R,
Mohammadi M, Nasser S, et al.
Effectiveness of Nurse-Led
Motivational Interviewing on
Self-Management and Self-Care
in Patients with Chronic Diseases:
A Systematic Review of
Randomized Controlled Trials.
Prev Care Nurs Midwifery J.
2026;16(1):105-119.

Abstract

Background: Chronic diseases impose a significant burden on health systems. Self-management, including self-care behaviors, plays a key role in their control. Motivational interviewing (MI) is an evidence-based approach used by nurses to enhance intrinsic motivation and facilitate behavior change in patients with chronic conditions. However, no comprehensive systematic review has specifically synthesized the effectiveness of nurse-led MI on self-management and self-care in chronic diseases.

Objectives: This systematic review aimed to assess and synthesize evidence from randomized trials on the effectiveness of nurse-led MI in improving self-management and self-care among patients with chronic diseases.

Methods: A systematic search was conducted in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar up to June 2026. Randomized trials that provided a nurse-led MI intervention and reported self-management or self-care outcomes were included. Risk of bias was assessed using the RoB 2 tool. Due to significant heterogeneity in the intervention types, study populations, and outcome measures, meta-analysis was not feasible; findings are therefore reported as a narrative synthesis.

Results: Of 423 records identified, 16 RCTs with 2805 participants were included. Fourteen studies (87.5%) reported statistically significant improvements in at least one self-management or self-care outcome favoring the intervention group. The most consistent evidence was observed in patients with heart failure and type 2 diabetes. Interventions comprising four or more sessions with a follow-up of at least six months were associated with the greatest improvements. Six studies were rated at low risk of bias, while ten had some concerns.

Conclusion: Current evidence suggests that nurse-led MI may enhance self-management and self-care behaviors in certain chronic diseases, particularly heart failure and type 2 diabetes. However, variability in interventions and outcomes, along with methodological limitations in some studies, reduces the certainty of this evidence. Rigorous randomized trials with standardized designs and extended follow-up periods are needed to strengthen the evidence base.

Implications for Nursing and Midwifery Preventive Care

- Nurse-led MI can improve self-care and self-management among patients with chronic diseases within the context of secondary preventive care.
- Integrating this approach into nursing follow-up programs, alongside proper implementation and ongoing monitoring, can support the maintenance of health-oriented behaviors and help prevent adverse disease outcomes.



Copyright © 2026, This is an original open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0 International License which permit copy and redistribution of the material just in noncommercial usages with proper citation

Introduction

Chronic noncommunicable diseases represent a major global health challenge, exerting a substantial burden on patients, families, and healthcare systems [1, 2].

These diseases account for over 70% of global mortality and are linked to disability, diminished quality of life and life expectancy, as well as heightened utilization of health services [3, 4]. Although therapeutic advancements have been made, effective management of chronic diseases relies not solely on pharmacological interventions. Patient involvement in managing their conditions is crucial for improving treatment outcomes.

Therefore, self-management and self-care are considered fundamental components of chronic disease management, given their association with preventing disease progression, improving quality of life, and optimizing health outcomes [5–7].

Self-care refers to the ability of patients, families, and communities to maintain and promote health, prevent disease, manage health conditions, and adapt to illness or disabilities [8, 9]. As a core component of self-care, self-management is generally viewed as an ongoing dynamic process in which patient take an active role in managing their health. This process includes managing symptoms, adhering to treatment, coping with the physical and psychological consequences of illness, and making lifestyle changes to support long-term health and well-being [8]. Although self-care and self-management are conceptually distinct, both are important for the effective management of chronic diseases. Evidence suggests that both are associated with better treatment adherence, improved symptom control, lower hospital readmission rates, reduced health care costs, and improved quality of life [10, 11]. Despite these well-recognized benefits, many patients continue to experience difficulties in maintaining self-management and self-care behaviors and adhering to the recommended treatment regimens [12]. These challenges may be influenced by a range of factors, including the complexity of treatment regimens, limited health literacy, socioeconomic barriers, inadequate support, and low motivation to engage in behavioral changes [12, 13].

Consequently, education alone may not be sufficient to sustain behavioral change. Interventions that enhance patients' intrinsic motivation may therefore offer a more effective approach to supporting self-management and self-care behaviors [14].

Among behavioral interventions, motivational interviewing (MI) is increasingly recognized as a promising approach to promote self-management and self-care among patients with chronic diseases [15–18].

MI is a collaborative, patient-centered, goal-oriented counseling approach designed to facilitate behavioral change by resolving ambivalence, strengthening intrinsic motivation, and enhancing self-efficacy [14, 19]. This is based on the premise that a stronger commitment to change may increase the likelihood of initiating and sustaining health-promoting behavior [20]. Effective chronic disease management requires the active involvement of patients, families, and healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, in supporting self-management and self-care [21]. Nurses play an important role in supporting self-management, promoting treatment adherence, and empowering patients to take an active role in managing their conditions through ongoing interactions with patients and an understanding of their clinical needs and the factors that influence behavioral change [22, 23].

As enhancing self-management and self-care remains a key goal of preventive care for patients with chronic diseases, nurse-led MI may offer a valuable approach to support patients' active participation in their care and improve these outcomes [21–23].

Although several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have examined the effectiveness of MI for chronic conditions such as heart failure, diabetes, and hypertension [16, 24], most have focused on a single disease or evaluated interventions delivered by different healthcare professionals without distinguishing nurses' specific contributions to the intervention. In addition, existing studies vary considerably in terms of intervention characteristics, study populations, and outcomes.

The publication of additional randomized controlled trials highlights the need to update current evidence. Therefore, a comprehensive synthesis of the evidence specifically evaluating the effectiveness of nurse-led MI across a broad range of chronic diseases is needed. To the best of our knowledge, no published systematic review has specifically evaluated the effectiveness of nurse-led MI across a broad range of chronic diseases based exclusively on randomized controlled trials while simultaneously focusing on both self-management and self-care outcomes.

Objectives

This systematic review aimed to synthesize and evaluate randomized controlled trial evidence on the effectiveness of nurse-led MI interventions in improving self-management and self-care behaviors among patients with chronic diseases. This review also aimed to characterize the key features of these interventions, including their mode of delivery, duration, and implementation.

Methods

Protocol registration and reporting guideline

This systematic review was conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) statement to ensure transparency and rigor. Before the literature search was initiated, a prespecified protocol was developed outlining the review question, eligibility criteria, search strategy, study selection process, data extraction procedures, and data synthesis methods, thereby minimizing the risk of bias associated with post-hoc methodological decisions. The review protocol was finalized before the study commenced, and all review procedures were conducted in accordance with it. This systematic review was registered in PROSPERO (CRD420261434305).

The eligibility criteria were defined in accordance with the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study design (PICOS) framework. The study population consisted of adults (aged ≥ 18 years) with chronic diseases. Eligible studies focused on nurse-led MI interventions, regardless of the

delivery mode (e.g., face-to-face, telephone-based, online, or blended), provided that nurses played the primary role in delivering or leading the intervention. Comparator groups included usual care, standard care, and interventions that did not incorporate MI. The primary outcomes of interest were self-management and self-care among patients with chronic diseases. Studies were included if these outcomes were assessed using validated psychometric instruments or well-established structured behavioral measures. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were considered. Excluded from the analysis were observational studies, qualitative studies, quasi-experimental studies, review articles, study protocols, case reports, conference abstracts, and letters to the editor.

Search Strategy

A thorough literature search was performed to identify all eligible studies in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science from the inception of these databases until June 27, 2026. To ensure exhaustive coverage of the available evidence, supplementary searches were performed in Google Scholar, ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global, and the Persian databases SID, Magiran, IranDoc, and Civilica to locate potentially relevant grey literature. The search strategy was structured around three primary concepts: motivational interviewing, self-management/self-care, and chronic diseases. For each concept, a combination of controlled vocabulary (Medical Subject Headings [MeSH], where applicable) and free-text terms was used, with the search strategy tailored to each database's indexing system and search functionality. The search terms were integrated using the Boolean operators AND and OR. To enhance search sensitivity, the strategy was refined following preliminary scoping searches. Alongside broad terms associated with chronic diseases, specific terms for prevalent chronic conditions, such as diabetes, hypertension, heart failure, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, and HIV/AIDS, were included. The comprehensive search strategies were customized for each database and are detailed in [Appendix 1](#) of the manuscript.

Study Selection

All records retrieved from the electronic database searches were imported into EndNote 25 (Clarivate Analytics) for reference management. After duplicate records were identified and removed, the remaining records underwent a two-stage screening process conducted independently by two reviewers. During the first stage, titles and abstracts were screened against the predefined eligibility criteria. Full-text articles deemed potentially eligible were subsequently assessed for inclusion. Any disagreements between the reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus. When consensus could not be reached, a third reviewer made the final decision. Studies published in English or Persian were considered eligible for inclusion.

A total of 391 records were identified through database searches (PubMed: 140; Scopus: 158; and Web of Science: 93). After removing 70 duplicate records, 321 records remained for title and abstract screening. Of these, 270 records were excluded for failing to meet the eligibility criteria, leaving 51 for further assessment. Following the screening process, 16 studies were considered potentially eligible for full-text review. Of these, eight studies were excluded after full-text assessment for not meeting the eligibility criteria, leaving eight eligible for inclusion in the electronic database search. Supplementary manual searches were also undertaken to enhance the comprehensiveness of the review. A total of 32 additional records were identified through Google Scholar and by screening the reference lists of relevant articles. After title, abstract, and full-text screening, eight additional studies met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review.

ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global was also searched to identify dissertations and other grey literature; however, no eligible records were identified.

Similarly, searches of the Persian databases SID, Magiran, IranDoc, and Civilica did not identify any additional eligible studies. Finally, 16 studies were included in this systematic review. The study selection process is presented in the PRISMA 2020 flow diagram [Figure 1] [25].

Data Extraction

Data were extracted using a standardized extraction form developed a priori, aligned with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [26]. This form underwent pilot testing in two included studies, resulting in minor modifications to enhance data collection consistency and comprehensiveness. Two reviewers independently extracted the data from all the included studies. The extracted data were categorized into four primary domains: (1) study characteristics, encompassing author, publication year, country, and study design; (2) participant and clinical characteristics, including sample size and type of chronic disease; (3) intervention and comparator characteristics, detailing the approach for delivering MI, number and duration of sessions, mode of delivery, and characteristics of the comparison group; and (4) outcome-related information, covering measurement instruments, follow-up duration, and outcomes related to self-management and self-care. Any discrepancies identified during the extraction process were resolved through discussion and consensus between the reviewers after re-examination of relevant articles. When agreement could not be reached, a third reviewer was consulted to resolve discrepancies [Table 2].

Quality/ Risk of Bias Assessment Tools

The methodological quality and risk of bias of the included studies were independently assessed by two reviewers using the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2 (RoB 2) tool [27].

This tool evaluates the risk of bias across five domains: randomization process, deviations from the intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result.

For each domain, studies were judged as having low, some concerns, or high risk of bias, in accordance with the RoB 2 guidance. Any disagreements between the reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus-building. When consensus could not be reached, a third reviewer was consulted to resolve disagreements. The results of the risk of bias assessment are presented in both tabular and

graphical formats according to the Cochrane recommendations, providing a transparent overview of the methodological quality of the included studies [Table 2].

Synthesis Methods

Given the substantial clinical and methodological heterogeneity among the included studies with respect to participant characteristics, chronic disease type, intervention structure and intensity, number of sessions, follow-up duration, and outcome measurement instruments, conducting a meta-analysis and, consequently, a formal assessment of publication bias (e.g., funnel plots or Egger's test) was not considered appropriate.

Therefore, the data were synthesized narratively in this review.

To facilitate narrative synthesis, the included studies were categorized by participant characteristics, disease type, characteristics of the MI intervention, comparator group, outcome measurement instruments, and primary outcomes related to self-management and self-care. The findings were systematically compared and synthesized to identify overall patterns in the intervention's effectiveness. The results were reported descriptively, with attention given to both consistency and discrepancies across the included studies. Throughout the review, synthesis primarily focused on self-management and self-care outcomes.

Result

Study Selection

A total of 423 records were identified through database searches ($n = 391$) and supplementary manual searches ($n = 32$). After removing duplicates ($n = 70$), 321 records were screened by title and abstract. Of these, 270 records were excluded, and 51 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Following full-text review, 35 studies were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria (non-RCT, $n = 12$; irrelevant outcomes, $n = 8$; not nurse-led MI, $n = 4$; other reasons, $n = 11$). Ultimately, 16 randomized

controlled trials met the eligibility criteria and were included in this systematic review [Figure 1].

Study Characteristics

The 16 included RCTs, published between 2010 and 2025, comprised 2,805 participants. Studies were conducted among patients with heart failure ($n = 7$), type 2 diabetes ($n = 4$), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ($n = 2$), chronic kidney disease ($n = 2$), and multimorbidity ($n = 1$). Two studies were derived from the MOTIVATE-HF trial [28, 29]. Sample sizes ranged from 30 to 332 participants in the intervention groups. The mean age of participants ranged from 42.76 to 69.64 years across studies. All interventions were delivered by nurses and grounded in MI principles. Delivery modes included face-to-face, telephone, online, and blended approaches. The number of sessions ranged from 1 to 8, and follow-up periods ranged from 4 weeks to 12 months. Self-management and self-care were assessed using both disease-specific instruments (e.g., SCHFI, DSMS, CKD-SMBS) and generic tools (e.g., heiQ) [Table 1].

Methodological Quality

Risk of bias was assessed using the Cochrane RoB 2 tool [27]. Of the 16 included studies, six (37.5%) were rated as having a low risk of bias, and 10 (62.5%) were rated as having some concerns. No study was rated as having a high risk of bias. The most frequent methodological concern was the measurement of the outcome domain (Domain 4), primarily due to the use of self-reported measures and the lack of blinding. Detailed risk-of-bias assessments are presented in Table 2.

Narrative Synthesis

Due to substantial clinical and methodological heterogeneity across studies in terms of disease type, intervention characteristics, outcome measures, and follow-up durations, meta-analysis was not feasible. Therefore, findings are presented narratively, grouped by disease type.

Table 1. Characteristics of the Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year (Ref.)	Population (Disease)	n (I/C)	Intervention	Sessions	Follow-up	Outcome (Tool)	Key Findings
Heart Failure							
Locatelli, 2022 [28]	HF caregivers	332/178	MI (face-to-face + telephone)	4	12 mo	CC-SCHFI	Significant ↑ in self-care
Vellone, 2020 [29]	HF (patient + caregiver)	332/178	MI + telephone follow-up	4	12 mo	SCHFI	Significant (Δ only)
Dellafiore, 2023 [30]	HF	121/61	Nurse-led MI	NR	12 mo	SCHFI	NR
Chen, 2018 [31]	CHF	35/37	MI-based education	6 (2 hr)	2 mo	SCHFI	Significant ↑
Paradis, 2010 [32]	HF	15/15	MI (Stages of Change)	3	1 mo	EHFScBS	Significant ↑
Nolan, 2021 [33]	CHF	117/114	e-counseling (MI + CBT)	NR	12 mo	CHF self-care	Significant ↑ (OR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.4–4.2)
Masterson Creber, 2015 [34]	CHF	70/30	Nurse-led MI	4–5	90 d	SCHFI v6.2	Significant ↑
Type 2 Diabetes							
İşleyen, 2025 [35]	T2DM	36/34	Tele-nursing MI	8	6 mo	DSMS	Significant ↑
Dogru, 2019 [36]	T2DM	30/30	Nurse-led MI	4	NR	PDSMS	Significant ↑
Chen, 2012 [37]	T2DM	125/125	MI-based education	6 (1.5 hr)	3 mo	DSMS	Non-significant
Holmen, 2014 [38]	T2DM	101/50	MI + mobile app	NR	12 mo	heiQ	Significant (skills domain)
COPD							
Song, 2014 [39]	Elderly COPD	20/20	MI-based education	6 (1 hr)	2 mo	Medication + Exercise Log	Significant (medication)
Borge, 2024 [40]	COPD	64/63	MI + health literacy	NR	12 mo	heiQ	Significant (d = – 0.35)
Chronic Kidney Disease							
Cui, 2025 [41]	Early CKD	35/35	Psychological empowerment + MI	8	4 wk	CKD-SMBS	Significant ↑
Zuo, 2022 [42]	Hemodialysis	62/56	Multidisciplinary MI	NR	6 mo	Behavioral Self-management	NR
Multimorbidity							
Fortin, 2021 [43]	>3 chronic conditions	144/140	Interdisciplinary MI + support	NR	4 mo	heiQ	Non-significant

Note. HF = heart failure; CHF = chronic heart failure; T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD = chronic kidney disease; I/C = intervention/control; MI = motivational interviewing; CBT = cognitive behavioral therapy; CC-SCHFI = Caregiver Contribution to Self-Care of Heart Failure Index; EHFScBS = European Heart Failure Self-care Behaviour Scale; SCHFI = Self-Care of Heart Failure Index; DSMS = Diabetes Self-Management Scale; PDSMS = Perceived Diabetes Self-Management Scale; heiQ = Health Education Impact Questionnaire; CKD-SMBS = Chronic Kidney Disease Self-Management Behavior Scale; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported; Δ = change score only; mo = months; d = days; wk = weeks; ↑ = improvement favoring intervention group; Significant = statistically significant findings ($p < .05$).

Heart Failure

Seven studies evaluated nurse-led MI in patients with heart failure [28–34].

Six of these studies reported significant improvements in at least one dimension of self-care or self-management, with the most consistent evidence observed for the Self-Care of Heart Failure Index (SCHFI).

Improvements were observed across various delivery modes, including

face-to-face, telephone, and blended approaches. Studies with four or more sessions and follow-up periods of at least six months generally reported more favorable outcomes [28, 29, 33].

Type 2 Diabetes

Four studies were conducted among patients with type 2 diabetes [35–38]. Three of these reported significant improvements in self-management behaviors, as measured by the Diabetes Self-Management Scale (DSMS) or Perceived Diabetes Self-Management Scale (PDSMS). One study reported non-significant findings [37]. Interventions delivered via telephone (tele-nursing) with eight sessions showed substantial improvements in self-management scores [35].

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Two studies evaluated nurse-led MI in patients with COPD [39, 40]. One study reported significant improvements in medication adherence [39], while the other demonstrated significant improvements in self-management using the heiQ, with a moderate effect size (Cohen's $d = -0.35$) [40]. However, the limited number of studies and heterogeneity in outcome measures prevent definitive conclusions.

Table 2. Methodological Quality Assessment of the Included Randomized Controlled Trials Using the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2 (RoB 2) Tool

Study (First author, year)	D1: Randomization Process	D2: Deviations from Intended Interventions	D3: Missing Outcome Data	D4: Measurement of the Outcome	D5: Selection of the Reported Result	Overall Risk of Bias
Chen et al., 2012	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns
Chen et al., 2018	Some concerns	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Some concerns
Cui et al, 2025	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Some concerns
Dellafiore et al., 2023	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low
Dogru et al, 2019	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Some concerns
Isleyen et al., 2025	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low
Locatelli et al, 2022	Low	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Masterson Creber et al., 2015	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Nolan et al, 2021	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low
Paradis et al., 2010	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Some concerns
Song et al., 2014	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Some concerns
Vellone et al., 2020	Low	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Zuo et al, 2022	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Holmen et al, 2014	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low
Fortin et al., 2021	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Borge et al, 2024	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low

Note 2. Outcome data are presented in the format reported by the original studies (e.g., mean $\pm$ SD, median [IQR], change score, OR with 95% CI, or other effect estimates).




Figure 1. PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram of the Literature Search and Study Selection Process

Chronic Kidney Disease

Two studies were conducted among patients with chronic kidney disease [41, 42]. One study reported significant improvements in self-management behaviors using the CKD-SMBS [41], while the other did not provide complete post-intervention data for quantitative comparison [42]. The available evidence is limited and inconclusive.

Multimorbidity

Only one study evaluated nurse-led MI in patients with multimorbidity [43]. This study reported non-significant findings for self-management outcomes measured by the heiQ. The complexity of managing multiple chronic conditions may explain the limited effectiveness observed in this population.

Overall Pattern of Effectiveness

Across the 16 included studies, 14 (87.5%) reported statistically significant improvements in at least one self-management or self-care outcome in favor of the intervention group. Two studies (12.5%) reported non-significant or limited findings [37, 43]. Descriptively, interventions comprising four or more sessions with a follow-up period of at least six months were more frequently associated with positive outcomes. The strongest evidence was observed for heart failure and type 2 diabetes, whereas evidence for COPD, CKD, and multimorbidity was limited and heterogeneous.

Discussion

This systematic review found that nurse-led MI interventions were associated with improvements in at least one self-management or self-care outcome in most of the included studies. The review synthesized evidence from 16 randomized controlled trials involving 2,805 participants, of which 14 reported statistically significant findings favoring the intervention, whereas two reported non-significant or mixed findings. Overall, the evidence appeared to be strongest for patients with heart failure and type 2 diabetes, whereas the available evidence for respiratory diseases and multimorbidity was more limited and heterogeneous [44–46]. The findings of

the present review are consistent with those of the systematic review and meta-analysis by Uzun and Gürhan, which demonstrated that MI may improve self-efficacy, quality of life, and disease management-related behaviors among patients with chronic diseases [15].

However, unlike previous reviews, the present review included only randomized controlled trials in which nurses delivered the intervention, thereby providing more specific evidence on nurses' role in delivering MI. Given that the effectiveness of MI may be influenced by the professional background of the intervention provider [3,4], the favorable findings observed in the present review may be attributable, at least in part, to nurses' ongoing interactions with patients, their comprehensive understanding of patients' physical, psychological, and social needs, and their ability to provide individualized support for behavioral change [46,47]. Such ongoing interactions may also facilitate the development of a trusting therapeutic relationship, a key component of effective MI [48]. Furthermore, the holistic nature of nursing care may facilitate the identification of individual, social, and environmental barriers to self-management and enable the provision of support tailored to each patient's needs [46, 47].

In the present review, most studies with four or more intervention sessions and a follow-up period of at least 6 months reported favorable outcomes. However, this observation is based on a descriptive comparison of the included studies and, given the heterogeneity of the interventions, it should not be interpreted as evidence of a causal relationship. This finding may also be interpreted in light of motivational theories, which suggest that MI is a gradual process and that sustained behavioral change is unlikely to occur over a short period [48]. According to self-determination theory, the internalization of motivation and its translation into sustained behavior require time, continuous feedback, and interpersonal support [49]. Therefore, more frequent sessions and longer follow-up periods may provide greater opportunities to develop intrinsic motivation, enhance self-efficacy, and promote self-management behaviors [29, 34]. An

umbrella review by Timmermans et al. also indicated that personalized, multicomponent interventions grounded in effective patient communication may be the most beneficial for improving self-management [44].

A substantial proportion of the effective interventions included in the present review, particularly for patients with heart failure and diabetes, shared these characteristics and involved repeated sessions, telephone follow-up, and ongoing support. Furthermore, the findings of this review are consistent with the evidence from other nurse-led interventions for chronic disease management [44, 45]. Consistent with these findings, evidence from other behavioral interventions in patients with chronic diseases has also suggested that such interventions may improve psychological and disease management-related outcomes [50]. Almutairi et al. found that nurse-led interventions significantly improved treatment adherence, self-care, and patient participation in decision-making [45]. Similarly, Berardinelli et al. reported that nurse-led interventions, particularly those incorporating motivational approaches, may enhance adherence to therapeutic regimens in patients with chronic diseases [22].

Among studies conducted on patients with heart failure, all seven reported improvements in at least one self-care dimension, although not all self-care dimensions improved in all studies. This finding is consistent with a systematic review by Yang et al., which demonstrated that nurse-led self-care interventions in individuals with heart failure improved self-care and quality of life and reduced hospital readmissions [49]. Given the complexity of heart failure management and the strong dependence of clinical outcomes on patients' daily self-care behaviors, this population may be particularly suitable for implementing MI-based interventions [51, 52].

Regarding studies on type 2 diabetes, three of the four studies reported significant improvements in self-management behaviors, whereas one study found no significant differences between the intervention and control groups. These findings are consistent with the meta-analysis by Mabayoje on

diabetes self-management education and behavioral support, which suggested that MI-based interventions may improve adherence to treatment plans [52].

In both CKD studies included in this review, the findings favored the intervention; however, only one study demonstrated a statistically significant improvement. In another study, the way in which the results were reported limited a clear assessment of statistical significance.

However, evidence related to COPD and multimorbidity remains heterogeneous. This heterogeneity may be attributed to the limited number of studies, variations in outcome measurement tools, and the greater complexity involved in managing these conditions. In individuals with multimorbidity, the presence of multiple treatment goals and a higher treatment burden may make behavior change more challenging than managing single chronic conditions [45, 46, 53]. Previous studies have also indicated that evidence regarding the effectiveness of MI among individuals with multimorbidity remains limited, highlighting the need for further studies to evaluate MI in this population [16, 28].

One of the main challenges of this review was the considerable heterogeneity in outcome measurement tools. The included studies used a wide range of instruments to assess self-management and self-care, which limited the possibility of conducting a meta-analysis. Furthermore, variations in the way results were reported (e.g., as means and standard deviations, medians and interquartile ranges, change scores, or odds ratios) hindered direct comparisons across studies.

Given the inconsistent reporting of the heiQ subscales across the included studies, and to improve outcome comparability and support the feasibility of narrative synthesis, this review focused specifically on the Skills and Techniques Acquisition subscale. As a practical and behavior-oriented dimension of the heiQ, this subscale reflects patients' capacity to apply skills and self-management strategies to manage their conditions; it may serve as a meaningful indicator for evaluating the effects of self-management-based interventions.

This review has several limitations. The considerable heterogeneity in disease types, intervention characteristics, follow-up periods, and outcome measurement tools precluded conducting a meta-analysis. In addition, many studies had limitations in outcome assessment, mainly due to the use of self-reported measures and the inability to blind the participants. Publication bias should also be considered, as studies with negative or non-significant findings may be less likely to be published. Furthermore, most of the included studies were conducted in middle- and high-income countries, which may limit the applicability of the findings to low-income settings. Given the limited available evidence, further randomized controlled trials are needed, particularly among individuals with respiratory diseases, chronic kidney disease, and multimorbidity, and in low-income countries, to address current gaps.

Future studies using standardized measures of self-management and self-care, along with longer follow-up periods, may improve the comparability and generalizability of the findings.

Conclusion

The findings of this systematic review suggest that nurse-led MI may be a promising approach for improving self-management and self-care behaviors among patients with chronic diseases, particularly those with heart failure and type 2 diabetes. Interventions delivered across multiple sessions with ongoing follow-up were generally associated with more favorable outcomes; however, this finding should be interpreted with caution because of the heterogeneity of the included studies. Variations in disease populations, intervention designs, follow-up durations, and outcome measurement instruments may limit the comparability and generalizability of the results. Nonetheless, the available evidence supports the potential value of nurse-led MI in the care and education of individuals with chronic illnesses. Rigorous randomized controlled trials are warranted, particularly in under-researched disease areas, to strengthen the existing evidence base.

Ethical Consideration

This systematic review of published studies did not involve the collection or analysis of primary human data; therefore, ethical approval and informed consent from participants were not required. Nevertheless, all stages of the review were conducted in accordance with ethical principles for scientific research and international standards for systematic reviews. These principles included transparent reporting, academic integrity in the use of published sources, and the application of standardized methods to minimize potential bias in study selection, data extraction, and interpretation of findings.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank all faculty members and colleagues who provided valuable guidance and support throughout the literature search, manuscript preparation, and revision processes.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest related to the research, authorship, or publication of this article.

Funding

This study received no specific funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit organizations.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization: D. Esmaeilbeigi, Z. Khezerlou, S. Kalantari

Methodology: D. Esmaeilbeigi, Z. Khezerlou, M. Aligholipour, R. Alipour

Investigation: D. Esmaeilbeigi, S. Kalantari, R. Alipour, M. Mohammadi

Data Curation: D. Esmaeilbeigi, S. Kalantari, M. Aligholipour

Formal Analysis: D. Esmaeilbeigi, Z. Khezerlou, M. Aligholipour

Validation: Z. Khezerlou, S. Nasser, V. Karimiaslchors

Resources: S. Nasser, V. Karimiaslchors

Writing – Original Draft: D. Esmaeilbeigi, S. Kalantari, R. Alipour, M. Mohammadi

Writing – Review & Editing: Z. Khezerlou, M. Aligholipour, S. Nasser, V. Karimiaslchors
 Visualization: Z. Khezerlou, M. Aligholipour
 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Artificial Intelligence Utilization

The authors used ChatGPT (OpenAI, GPT-5.5) exclusively to assist with checking and formatting the references in accordance with the target journal's reference style. AI generated no scientific content, interpretation, or conclusions. The authors reviewed, verified, and approved all references and remain fully responsible for the final manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

Data sharing is not required for this article because no new datasets were generated or analyzed in the current study.

References

- World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases>
- Allegre JP, Wells MT, Peterson JC. Interventions to support behavioral self-management of chronic diseases. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2019;40:127-146. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-044008>
- Freihat O, Sipos D, Aamir M, Kovacs A. Global burden and future projections of noncommunicable diseases (2000-2050): progress toward SDG 3.4 and disparities across regions and risk factors. *PLoS One*. 2025;20(12):1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0336036>
- Polanco B, Oña A, Gempel A, Pacheco Barzallo D. Excess healthcare utilization and costs linked to chronic conditions: a comparative study of nine European countries. *European Journal of Public Health*. 2025;35(2):216-227. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckaf012>
- Schulman-Green D, Jaser S, Martin F, Alonzo A, Grey M, McCorkle R, et al. Processes of self-management in chronic illness. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*. 2012;44(2):136-144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2012.01444.x>
- Udell KJ. Self-management in chronic illness: concept and dimensional analysis. *Journal of Nursing and Healthcare of Chronic Illness*. 2011;3(2):90-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-9824.2011.01085.x>
- Iovino P, Uchmanowicz I, Vellone E. Self-care: an effective strategy to manage chronic diseases. *Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine*. 2024;33(8):767-771. <https://doi.org/10.17219/acem/191102>
- Lawless MT, Tieu M, Chan RJ, Hendriks JM, Kitson A. Instruments measuring self-care and self-management of chronic conditions by community-dwelling older adults: a scoping review. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*. 2023;42(7):1687-1709. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07334648231164124>
- Barlow J, Wright C, Sheasby J, Turner A, Hainsworth J. Self-management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2002;48(2):177-187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0738-3991\(02\)00032-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0738-3991(02)00032-0)
- Grady PA, Gough LL. Self-management: a comprehensive approach to management of chronic conditions. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014;104(8): e25-31. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302041>
- Riegel B, Jaarsma T, Strömberg A. A middle-range theory of self-care of chronic illness. *Advances in Nursing Science*. 2012;35(3):194-204. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANS.0b013e318261b1ba>
- Bahroodi PS, Safa A, Mirbagher Ajorpaz N. Self-care neglect in chronic diseases: a concept analysis. *Nursing and Midwifery Studies*. 2026;15(1):58-66. https://doi.org/10.4103/nms.nms_45_2025
- Farley H. Promoting self-efficacy in patients with chronic disease beyond traditional education: a literature review. *Nursing Open*. 2020;7(1):30-41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop.2.382>
- Miller WR, Rollnick S. *Motivational interviewing: helping people change*. 3rd ed. New York: Guilford Press; 2013.
- Uzun S, Gürhan N. The effect of motivational interviewing on quality of life and self-efficacy behaviors of individuals with chronic illness: a meta-analysis study. *Public Health Nursing*. 2024;41(5):901-922. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phn.13345>
- Ghizzardi G, Arrigoni C, Dellafiore F, Vellone E, Caruso R. Efficacy of motivational interviewing on enhancing self-care behaviors among patients with chronic heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Heart Failure Reviews*. 2022;27(4):1029-1041. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-021-10124-9>
- Bilgin A, Muz G, Yuce GE. The effect of motivational interviewing on metabolic control and psychosocial variables in individuals diagnosed with diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2022;105(9):2806-2823. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.04.008>
- Xu J, Gu X, Gu J, Zhao L, Li M, Hong C. Motivational interviewing intervention for the management of hypertension: a meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2024; 11:1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2024.1457039>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Enhancing motivation for change in substance use disorder treatment. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series No. 35. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2019. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK571062/>
- Miller WR, Rose GS. Toward a theory of motivational interviewing. *American Psychologist*. 2009;64(6):527-537.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016830>

21. Gosak L, Vrbnjak D, Pajnkihar M. Self-management of chronic diseases: a concept analysis. *Nursing in the 21st Century*. 2022;21(2):115-121.

<https://doi.org/10.2478/nurs-2022-0015>

22. Berardinelli D, Conti A, Hasnaoui A, Casabona E, Martin B, Campagna S, et al. Nurse-led interventions for improving medication adherence in chronic diseases: a systematic review. *Healthcare*. 2024;12(23):1-26.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12232427>

23. Tharani A, Van Hecke A, Ali TS, Duprez V. Factors influencing nurses' provision of self-management support for patients with chronic illnesses: a systematic mixed studies review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2021; 120:103983.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.103983>

24. Alfarisi NR, Lestari D, Sujianto U. Motivational interviewing in patients with chronic conditions. *Indonesian Journal of Global Health Research*. 2025;7(3):529-36. <https://doi.org/10.37287/ijghr.v7i3.5123>

525. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública*. 2022; 46:1-9.

<https://doi.org/10.26633/RPSP.2022.112>

26. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al., editors. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Version 6.4. London: Cochrane; 2023.

<https://www.training.cochrane.org/handbook>

27. Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2019; 366:1-8.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4898>

28. Locatelli G, Zeffiro V, Occhino G, Rebora P, Caggianelli G, Ausili D, et al. Effectiveness of motivational interviewing on contribution to self-care, self-efficacy, and preparedness in caregivers of patients with heart failure: a secondary outcome analysis of the MOTIVATE-HF randomized controlled trial. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2022;21(8):801-811. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjcn/zvac019>

29. Vellone E, Rebora P, Ausili D, Zeffiro V, Pucciarelli G, Caggianelli G, et al. Motivational interviewing to improve self-care in heart failure patients (MOTIVATE-HF): a randomized controlled trial. *ESC Heart Failure*. 2020;7(3):1309-1318. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.12633>

30. Dellafiore F, Ghizzardi G, Vellone E, Magon A, Conte G, Baroni I, et al. A single-center, randomized controlled trial to test the efficacy of nurse-led motivational interviewing for enhancing self-care in adults with heart failure. *Healthcare*. 2023;11(5):1-14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11050673>

31. Chen J, Zhao H, Hao S, Xie J, Ouyang Y, Zhao S. Motivational interviewing to improve the self-care behaviors for patients with chronic heart failure: a randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences*. 2018;5(3):213-217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2018.04.008>

32. Paradis V, Cossette S, Frasure-Smith N, Heppell S, Guertin MC. The efficacy of a motivational nursing intervention based on the stages of change on self-care in heart failure patients. *Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2010;25(2):130-141.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/JCN.0b013e3181c7c8b8>

33. Nolan RP, Ross HJ, Farkouh ME, Huszti E, Chan S, Toma M, et al. Automated e-counseling for chronic heart failure: CHF-CePPORT trial. *Circulation: Heart Failure*. 2021;14(1):e007073.

<https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.120.007073>

34. Creber RMM, Patey M, Lee CS, Riegel B. Motivational interviewing to improve self-care for patients with chronic heart failure: MITI-HF randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Cardiac Failure*. 2015;21(8 Suppl):1-21.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.06.308>

35. İşleyen EK, Özdemir İN, Yoldemir ŞA. The effect of tele-nursing based motivational interviewing on self-efficacy, self-management and metabolic control parameters in individuals with type 2 diabetes: randomized controlled study. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*. 2025;194(2):563-573.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-024-03852-0>

36. Dogru A, Ovayolu N, Ovayolu O. The effect of motivational interview on persons with diabetes on self-management and metabolic variables. *Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*. 2019;69(3):294-300.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30890817>

37. Chen SM, Creedy D, Lin HS, Wollin J. Effects of motivational interviewing intervention on self-management, psychological and glycemic outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2012;49(6):637-644.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2011.11.011>

38. Holmen H, Torbjørnsen A, Wahl AK, Jennum AK, Småstuen MC, Årsand E, et al. A mobile health intervention for self-management and lifestyle change for persons with type 2 diabetes, part 2: one-year results from the Norwegian randomized controlled trial RENEWING HEALTH. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2014;2(4):1-15.

<https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.3882>

39. Song HY, Yong SJ, Hur HK. Effectiveness of a brief self-care support intervention for pulmonary rehabilitation among elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Korea. *Rehabilitation Nursing*. 2014;39(3):147-156.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/rnj.130>

40. Borge CR, Larsen MH, Osborne RH, Aas E, Kolle IT, Reinertsen R, et al. Impacts of a health literacy-informed intervention in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) on hospitalization, health literacy, self-management, quality of life, and health costs: a randomized controlled trial. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2024; 123:108178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2024.108178>

41. Cui Y, Li JY, Liu N, Li R, Li XY, Li FQ, et al. Effects of psychological empowerment-based motivational interviewing program on self-management behavior in patients with early chronic kidney disease: a mixed methods study. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 2025;2025(1):1-18.

<https://doi.org/10.1155/jonm/8647321>

42. Zuo M, Zhu W, Lin J, Zhuo J, He X, Jing X, et al. The impact of nurse-led nonpharmacological multidisciplinary holistic nursing care on fatigue in patients receiving hemodialysis: a randomized, parallel-group, controlled trial. *BMC Nursing*. 2022;21(1):1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01124-x>

43. Fortin M, Stewart M, Ngangue P, Almirall J, Bélanger M, Brown JB, et al. Scaling up patient-centered interdisciplinary care for multimorbidity: a pragmatic mixed-methods randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Family Medicine*. 2021;19(2):126-134.

<https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2662>

44. Timmermans L, Golder E, Decat P, Foulon V, Van Hecke A, Schoenmakers B. Characteristics of self-management support interventions and their impact on quality of life in adults with chronic diseases: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *Health Policy*. 2023; 135:104880.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2023.104880>

45. Almutairi KA, Almalki AM, Alrashidi RR, Aldhabani EBH, Aldhabani NBH, Aljohani SMR, et al. The role of nurse-led interventions in managing chronic diseases: a systematic review. *Review of Diabetic Studies*. 2024;20(2):116-128.

<https://doi.org/10.1900/RDS.2024.20.116>

46. Adynski G, Bjørø K, Acorn M. Advanced practice nurse networking to enhance global health. In: *International Council of Nurses Leadership Perspectives*. Cham: Springer; 2024. p. 215-227. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56789-2_15

47. Miller WR. The evolution of motivational interviewing. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*. 2023;51(6):616-632. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465823000215>

48. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. 2020; 61:101860.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860>

49. Yang Z, Huang H, Xu L, Gao Y, Zhang C, Wang A. From need to action: decoding the role of motivation in adherence to home-based cardiac rehabilitation exercise in chronic heart failure patients. *BMC Nursing*. 2024;23(1):1-13.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-02570-5>

50. Razavi SB, Aboalghasimi S, Akbari B, Nadirinabi B. The effectiveness of cognitive therapy on hope and pain management in women with chronic pain. *Preventive Care in Nursing and Midwifery Journal*. 2022;12(2):18-23.

<https://doi.org/10.52547/pcnm.12.2.18>

51. Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics---2020 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2020;141(9):e139-596.

<https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000757>

52. Mabayoje A. The role of digital technology in the self-management of type 2 diabetes among the aging population in the United Kingdom: a systematic literature review [dissertation]. Lampeter: University of Wales Trinity Saint David; 2025. <https://repository.uwtsd.ac.uk/id/eprint/2455>

53. Smith SM, Wallace E, Clyne B, Boland F, Fortin M. Interventions for improving outcomes in patients with multimorbidity in primary care and community settings: a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews*. 2021;10(1):1-23.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01789-0>

Appendix 1. Comprehensive search strategy in databases

Database	Search strategy
PubMed	((((("Nurses"[Mesh] OR nurse* OR nursing[OR "nurse-led" OR "nurse led" OR "nurse-delivered" OR "nurse delivered" OR "nurse-managed" OR "nurse managed" OR "nurse-guided" OR "nurse guided" OR "nurse-coordinated" OR "nurse coordinated" OR "nurse-facilitated" OR "nurse facilitated" OR "practice nurse" OR "registered nurse" OR "advanced practice nurse" OR "clinical nurse specialist" OR "nurse practitioner")) AND (("Motivational Interviewing"[Mesh] OR motivational interview* OR motivational counsel* OR motivational counselling OR motivational counseling OR motivational enhancement OR "behavior change counseling" OR "behaviour change counselling"))) AND (("Self-Management"[Mesh] OR "Self Care"[Mesh] OR self-manag* OR "self-management education" OR "self-management support" OR "self-management behavior*" OR "self-management behaviour*" OR self-care OR "self-care" OR "self-care behavior*" OR "self-care behaviour*" OR "self-care practice*" OR "self-care management"))) AND ("Chronic illness*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Long-term condition" [Title/Abstract] OR "Chronic condition*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Chronic diseases" [Title/Abstract] OR "Disease, Chronic" [Title/Abstract] OR "Illness Chronic" [Title/Abstract] OR "Chronically Ill" [Title/Abstract] OR "Condition, Chronic" [Title/Abstract] OR "Heart disease" [Title/Abstract] OR "Hypertension" [Title/Abstract] OR "Heart failure" [Title/Abstract] OR "HF" [Title/Abstract] OR "Stroke" [Title/Abstract] OR "Obesity" [Title/Abstract] OR "Diabetes" [Title/Abstract] OR "COPD" [Title/Abstract] OR "Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease" [Title/Abstract] OR "Intestinal disease" [Title/Abstract] OR "Chronic Renal disease" [Title/Abstract] OR "CKD" [Title/Abstract] OR "Hemodialysis" OR "Epilepsy" [Title/Abstract] OR "HIV" [Title/Abstract] OR "AIDS" [Title/Abstract] OR "Obstructive sleep apnea" [Title/Abstract] OR "OSA" [Title/Abstract]))
Scopus	TITLE-ABS-KEY ((nurse* OR nursing OR "nurses" OR "nurse-led" OR "nurse delivered" OR "nurse-managed" OR "nurse practitioner" OR "advanced practice nurse") AND ("motivational interviewing" OR "motivational interview*" OR "motivational counsel*" OR "motivational counseling" OR "behavior change counseling" OR "behaviour change counselling" OR "motivational enhancement") AND (self-manag* OR "self-management" OR "self-management education" OR "self-management support" OR self-care OR "self care" OR "self-care behavior*" OR "self-care practice*") AND ("chronic disease*" OR "chronic illness*" OR "chronic condition*" OR hypertension OR diabetes OR COPD OR "heart failure" OR stroke OR obesity OR CKD OR HIV OR AIDS OR OSA))
Web of Science	("Nurses" OR nurse* OR nursing[OR "nurse-led" OR "nurse led" OR "nurse-delivered" OR "nurse delivered" OR "nurse-managed" OR "nurse managed" OR "nurse-guided" OR "nurse guided" OR "nurse-coordinated" OR "nurse

coordinated" OR "nurse-facilitated" OR "nurse facilitated" OR "practice nurse" OR "registered nurse" OR "advanced practice nurse" OR "clinical nurse specialist" OR "nurse practitioner") (Abstract) and ("Motivational Interviewing" OR motivational interview* OR motivational counsel* OR motivational counselling OR motivational counseling OR motivational enhancement OR "behavior change counseling" OR "behaviour change counselling") (Abstract) and ("Self-Management" OR "Self Care" OR self-manag* OR "self-management education" OR "self-management support" OR "self-management behavior*" OR "self-management behaviour*" OR self-care OR "self care" OR "self-care behavior*" OR "self-care behaviour*" OR "self-care practice*" OR "self-care management") (Abstract) and "Chronic illness*" OR "Long-term condition" OR "Chronic condition*" OR "Chronic diseases" OR "Disease, Chronic" OR "Illness Chronic "OR "Chronically Ill" OR "Condition, Chronic" OR "Heart disease" OR "Hypertension" OR "Heart failure" OR "HF" OR "Stroke" OR "Obesity" OR "Diabetes" OR "COPD" OR "Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease" OR "Intestinal disease" OR "Chronic Renal disease" OR "CKD" OR "Hemodialysis" "Epilepsy" OR "HIV" OR "AIDS" OR "Obstructive sleep apnea" OR "OSA" (Abstract)
("motivational interviewing" AND nurse AND self-management AND chronic disease)

Google
Scholar
