### Article

# The effectiveness of self-differentiation training on family process and marital intimacy in couples with marital conflict

Seyedeh Farzaneh Jafari<sup>1</sup>, Kobra Kazemian Moghaddam<sup>2\*</sup>, Maryam Gholamzadeh Jofreh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Counseling, Ahvaz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ahvaz, Iran <sup>\*2</sup>Department of Psychology, Dezful Branch, Islamic Azad University, Dezful, Iran

Article Info	Abstract			
	<b>Background:</b> The rising prevalence of marital conflict and its detrimental impact on individual and family			
A	well-being underscores the urgent need for effective interventions. <b>Objectives:</b> This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of self-differentiation training on family process			
Article history: Received: 2 Nov 2024	and marital intimacy in couples with marital conflict.			
Accepted: 15 Jan 2025	<i>Methods:</i> A quasi-experimental pre-test, post-test, and follow-up design was utilized. The study population			
Accepted: 15 Jan 2025	comprised all couples residing in Ahvaz, Iran, aged between 20 and 45, who sought divorce counseling at			
Keywords:	psychological centers in 2023. A convenience sample of 30 couples was recruited. Participants completed the			
Self-differentiation, Family	Family Process Scale and the Marital Intimacy Scale at baseline, post-intervention, and a 45-day follow-up			
process, Intimacy, Family	assessment. The intervention consisted of eight weekly 90-minute self-differentiation training sessions. Data			
conflict	were analyzed using repeated-measures analysis of variance. Post-hoc comparisons were conducted			
	employing the LSD test.			
	Results: A significant increase in family process scores was observed between the pre-test and post-test			
	assessments among couples experiencing marital conflict (p<0.001). Similarly, significant improvements in			
*Corresponding author:	marital intimacy were found between the pre-test and both the post-test and follow-up assessments			
Department of Psychology,	(P<0.001). These sustained effects at follow-up indicate the long-lasting impact of self-differentiation			
Dezful Branch, Islamic Azad	training.			
University, Dezful, Iran	Conclusion: Self-differentiation training significantly improved family processes and marital intimacy in			
	couples experiencing conflict, suggesting its effectiveness in addressing the core issues underlying marital			
Email:	discord. Therefore, mental health professionals and family therapists should consider incorporating self- differentiation training into their practice when working with couples experiencing marital conflict,			
<i>Emuu</i> . kkazemianmoghaddam@gmail.com	particularly those considering separation or divorce.			
Kazemannognaddame gman.com	particularly most considering separation of divolce.			
Copyright © 202	1, This is an original open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0			
	ense which permit copy and redistribution of the material just in noncommercial usages with proper citation			

#### Implications of this paper in nursing and midwifery preventive care:

- Self-differentiation training significantly improved family processes and marital intimacy in couples experiencing conflict.
- These positive effects were sustained at a two-month follow-up, suggesting long-lasting benefits.
- Nurses and midwives can integrate self-differentiation principles into premarital counseling and postpartum care to promote healthy relationships and prevent marital distress.

#### Introduction

The family serves as the foundational unit of society, providing the initial context for an individual's growth and development. One defining aspect of human existence is the ability to build constructive interpersonal interactions characterized by empathy and compassion [1]. Despite this, divorce rates have been on the rise in recent years, attributed to various factors. The negative consequences of marital dissatisfaction often persist beyond divorce, impacting not only the immediate family but also extended social networks, particularly children [2]. Sociological psychological and research consistently

demonstrates higher rates of psychological and behavioral disorders among children of divorced parents [3]. The integration of these children into society incurs substantial economic costs and contributes to social and cultural disruption, psychological distress, and a hindrance to societal progress. One of the main causes of these psychosocial issues is often rooted in family dynamics and parental relationships [4].

Dysfunctional family dynamics, particularly in the emotional, cognitive, and behavioral domains, often contribute to divorce. For example, when emotional functioning within a family is compromised, couples may experience emotional detachment and indifference, which can erode their connection and increase the likelihood of Contemporary separation [5]. society is characterized by a significant increase in familial challenges. In Iran, the increasing number of couples with problems is causing unstable families, strained marriages, and more divorces [6]. As the cornerstone of society, families are susceptible to disruptions caused by various stressors. A myriad of individual, social, and psychological emotional. factors can undermine the stability and cohesion of this fundamental social institution [7].

Samani's family process and content model offers a comprehensive framework for understanding family dynamics within Iranian cultural contexts [8]. This model posits that family dysfunction is influenced by three interconnected factors: family processes, family content, and the broader social context. Family processes encompass the behaviors and interactions that enable family members to adapt to changing circumstances and needs, including coping skills, flexibility, problem-solving abilities, decision-making, communication, and religious orientation. Family content refers to the resources available to the family, such as income, education, occupation, and physical and mental health. The social context, the third dimension, encompasses the cultural environment and belief systems that surround the family [9]. According to this model, deficiencies in any of these three areas can contribute to family dysfunction. For instance, inadequate family processes, such as poor communication or ineffective problem-solving, can lead to marital conflict and ultimately divorce [8].

Dysfunctional family processes, content, and context can lead to marital problems. In such circumstances, marital intimacy decreases [9]. Marital intimacy can be conceptualized as a psychological state that does not emerge spontaneously but requires effort from both partners. Particularly during the early years of marriage, marital intimacy is highly unstable, rendering relationships more vulnerable [10]. In essence, intimacy is an attitudinal variable and an individual characteristic of both spouses. Marital intimacy is one of the most significant factors contributing to a successful, healthy, and happy marriage [11]. Experts agree that successful marriages necessitate specific skills and abilities, including communication and problem-solving skills [12,13].

The escalating rates of divorce and marital dissatisfaction, coupled with couples' desire for fulfilling relationships, stronger. more underscores the need for specialized interventions and education [14]. In Iran, the divorce rate rose from 94,039 in 2006 to 181,049 in 2016, an average increase of 0.61% per year, highlighting the growing need for effective support systems for couples [15]. Consequently, various therapeutic approaches have been developed to address and marital problems, with family selfdifferentiation training emerging as a particularly promising intervention [16]. Given the detrimental effects of dysfunctional family processes, low marital intimacy, and high levels of enmeshment and anxiety, self-differentiation training has gained significant attention among family therapists and researchers in recent decades approach [17]. This empowers individuals to differentiate between their cognitive and emotional processes, enabling them to maintain emotional autonomy and make rational decisions in challenging situations [18].

For Bowen, self-differentiation is not merely a therapeutic process but also a therapeutic technique [19]. The goal of self-differentiation training is to foster individuals' ability to regulate their emotions and thoughts independently, based on their personalities rather than being influenced by others [20]. Self-differentiation is a concept used to describe family interaction patterns, aiming to establish appropriate boundaries between family members and to balance individuality with intimacy, considering factors such as age and developmental stage [21]. Selfdifferentiation training has been widely applied in family therapy and interpersonal relationships. Its benefits include improved family processes and content [22], and enhanced intimacy, adaptability, and assertiveness among married women [23].

Considering the potential of psychosocial interventions to positively impact family processes and content, thereby mitigating the risk of divorce, this research was undertaken. This study has important implications for preventive care in nursing and midwifery, particularly in areas such as premarital counseling, postpartum support, and family health education. It aims to investigate the efficacy of self-differentiation training in enhancing family processes and marital intimacy among couples who are experiencing marital difficulties and contemplating divorce.

# Methods

A quasi-experimental pre-test, post-test, and a 45 days follow-up design was employed. The target population comprised all couples experiencing marital conflict and seeking divorce at psychological centers in Ahvaz, Iran, in 2023. Thirty participants were selected using a convenience sampling method and assigned to an experimental group. A priori power analysis was conducted using G\*Power to determine the required sample size for a repeated measures ANOVA. Given an anticipated effect size of 0.78, an alpha level of 0.05, and a desired power of 0.95, the analysis indicated a need for a total sample of 30 couples. Inclusion criteria included below-average scores on the Family Process Scale and Marital Intimacy Scale, age between 20 and 45 years, and the absence of concurrent psychological treatment. Exclusion criteria encompassed missing more than two intervention sessions.

The Family Process Scale consists of 43 fivepoint Likert-type items (strongly agree: 5 to strongly disagree: 1), yielding a possible score range of 43 to 215. Scores below 100 were considered weak, 100-160 average, and above 160 strong. Items 1, 5, 7, 8, 20, 28 to 37, and 40 were reverse-scored. The reliability of this questionnaire was confirmed in a psychometric study by Samani et al. with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.85 [8]. In the present study, the Family Process Scale showed an internal consistency with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.85.

To assess the level of intimacy within couples, the 17-item Marital Intimacy Scale, adapted from Thompson & Walker's Marital Intimacy Questionnaire and using a 7-point Likert scale (1= "never" to 7 = "always"), was employed. Higher scores on this scale indicate greater marital intimacy. Based on prior research, scores were categorized as follows: 17-50 indicated low intimacy, 51-84 moderate intimacy, and 85-119 high intimacy [24]. Amadian et al. reported a Cronbach's alpha of 0.95 [25], and present study found an acceptable alpha of 0.81.

This study, conducted in 2023 at psychological centers in Ahvaz. Iran, received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of Islamic Azad University (IR.IAU.AHVAZ.REC.1403.168). Following the provision of written informed consent, participants completed the Family Process Scale and the Marital Intimacy Scale, establishing baseline (pre-test) data. These pretest questionnaires were administered immediately before the start of the first training session. Subsequently, participating couples (both male and female) received an eight-week structured self-differentiation training program at the Ahvaz Family Counseling Center. Each weekly session lasted 90 minutes. The training was delivered by the first author, a certified family therapist with expertise in selfdifferentiation theory and practice, and was based on Bowen's family systems theory and relevant clinical literature [19]. (Table 1 provides a detailed overview of the intervention content). Immediately following the completion of the eighth and final session of the eight-week program, participants again completed the Family Process Scale and the Marital Intimacy Scale, constituting the post-intervention (post-test) data. Therefore, the post-test questionnaires were administered immediately after the conclusion of the eighth session. A final assessment using the same instruments was conducted 45 days postintervention to collect follow-up data. Given the nature of the therapeutic training program, blinding of participants and the intervention facilitator was not feasible.

Sessions	Summary
1	Establishing rapport and introductions; utilizing icebreakers and session initiation techniques;
	outlining session objectives, rules, and regulations; fostering commitment to continued
	participation; emphasizing the significance of marriage; and introducing participants to the
	systemic-triangular model.
2	Commencing self-awareness exploration through assignments designed to identify personal
	strengths and weaknesses, and accurately label emotions. Incorporating exercises and assignments
	related to self-awareness. Explaining the concept of self-differentiation using examples and real-life
4	scenarios, highlighting characteristics of differentiated and undifferentiated individuals. Teaching
	genogram construction and providing a family genogram example. Explain the purpose and
	function of genograms and emphasize their importance throughout the course.
3	Correlating current life challenges with the concept of differentiation. Examining the distinction
	between rational and emotional behaviors through participant-provided examples and scenarios.
	Managing emotions and teaching problem-solving skills.
	Exploring the factors influencing triangulation. Identifying various forms of triangulation.
4	Examining the impact of triangulation on the perpetuation of problems and family relationships.
	Discussing the intergenerational transmission of triangles (genograms).
	This session focused on teaching de-triangulation techniques and strategies for managing relational
5	triangles. Participants were guided to reduce emotional distance and explore the impact of their
	family of origin on their current life through the use of constructed genograms.
	Communication styles were the focus of this session. Participants were helped to identify their
6	communication patterns and understand the concept of circular causality in marital interactions.
	The "butterfly effect" model was introduced.
	The seventh session focused on teaching effective communication skills for couples. Participants
7	learned about interpersonal boundaries and how to differentiate between rational and irrational
	beliefs about others. Strategies for fostering behaviors that strengthen the couple's identity and
	increase intimacy were discussed.
8	This final session involved answering participants' questions and summarizing the key points
	covered throughout the course. A post-session evaluation was conducted to gather participants'
	feedback and thoughts on the program. The session concluded with expressions of gratitude.

# Table 1: A summary of the self-differentiation training sessions

### Data analysis

The normality of data was assessed using skewness and kurtosis statistics and the Shapiro-Wilk test. Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations (SD), were then calculated. Subsequently, repeated-measures ANOVA was used to evaluate the effects of the self-differentiation training. Where significant main effects were found, LSD post hoc tests were performed to explore pairwise comparisons. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 27. The significance level for all analyses was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### Results

Regarding age distribution, a majority of participants (53.33%) were aged between 33 and 45 years, while 46.67% were between 20 and 32 years old. The mean (SD) age of female participants was 27.49 (6.61) years, and the mean (SD) age of male participants was 35.85 (8.44) years.

Table 2 presents the mean scores and standard deviations for family process and marital intimacy at pre-test, post-test, and follow-up assessments.

Variables	Pre-test	Post-test	Follow-up	
v al lables	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Family process	89.60 (9.70)	170.40 (11.49)	174.87 (7.37)	
Marital intimacy	45.67 (5.59)	80.63 (3.89)	80.27 (5.01)	

#### Table 2: Means and standard deviations (SD) of family process and marital intimacy

To ensure the validity of the repeated-measures ANOVA, the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance were assessed. Outlier analysis using skewness and kurtosis confirmed data normality. Levene's test indicated that the homogeneity of variance assumption was met for both family process (F=1.68, p=0.201) and marital intimacy (F=0.85, p=0.36). Mauchly's test

indicated that the assumption of sphericity was met for both family process and marital intimacy. A repeated-measures ANOVA was conducted to evaluate the impact of self-differentiation training on family process and marital intimacy and based on the ANOVA test, the interventions showed significant changes in two variables (p<0.001) (Table 3).

 

 Table 3: Repeated-measures ANOVA for within-group effects across three-time points in the selfdifferentiation training group

Variables	SS	df	MS	F	р	$\eta^2$
Family process	138189.9	1	138189.9	918.40	0.001	0.96
Marital intimacy	24199.6	1	24199.6	559.43	0.001	0.95

#### SS: Sum of Squares; MS: Mean Square

There was a significant difference between the pre-test, post-test, and follow-up scores for the family process variable (p<0.001). To further examine the differences between the pre-test, post-test, and follow-up stages for the variables, the LSD post hoc test was employed. As shown in Table 4, there was a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test scores for the family process variable (p<0.001). This indicates

that self-differentiation training was effective in improving the family process among couples experiencing marital conflict. Moreover, the significant difference between the pre-test and follow-up scores for the family process variable (p<0.001) suggests that the effects of selfdifferentiation training on the family process were sustained over time.

Scales	Phases		Mean difference	SE	р
Family process	Pre-test	Post-test	80.80	2.74	0.001
		Follow-up	85.27	2.22	0.001
	Post-test	Follow-up	4.47	0.078	0.074
Marital intimacy	Pre-test	Post-test	34.96	1.24	0.001
		Follow-up	24.60	1.37	0.001
	Post-test	Follow-up	-0.36	1.16	0.757

There was a significant difference between the pre-test, post-test, and follow-up scores for the marital intimacy variable (p<0.001). This finding supports the conclusion that self-differentiation

training was effective in increasing marital intimacy among couples experiencing marital conflict (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Changes in family process and marital intimacy scores in self-differentiation training group

# Discussion

The current study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of self-differentiation training on family process and marital intimacy among couples experiencing marital conflict and seeking divorce in Ahvaz. The results indicated that self-differentiation training was effective in improving both family process and marital intimacy, and these effects were sustained at the follow-up stage.

The first finding revealed that self-differentiation training was effective in improving the family process at both the post-test and follow-up stages. This is consistent with findings from Mohammadi et al. [16], who found that self-differentiation training improved women's self-differentiation and marital satisfaction: Saadat Mehr and Khanjani Veshki [18], who reported increased positive feelings towards spouses following selfdifferentiation training; and Hashemi and Jafari [22], who also found improvements in family process and content among couples undergoing similar training. To explain this finding, it can be argued that couples seeking a consensual divorce often reach this stage due to weak communication skills and an inability to manage conflicts. Selfdifferentiation training can help them to address marital conflicts and problems in a more rational manner and with healthier boundaries, rather than reacting with intense emotions [22].

Self-differentiation training equips individuals with the ability to better recognize and manage their emotions while maintaining constructive relationships with their partners, which can lead to improved family communication [16]. Furthermore, self-differentiation helps couples to reduce unhealthy emotional dependencies and to respond to family problems independently, without relying on their partner's reactions. This process enables each partner to express their needs and feelings appropriately without creating significant conflict [18]. The outcome of this training can result in increased cooperation and collaboration between partners, ultimately familv improving functioning [22]. Selfdifferentiation training can also contribute to creating a safer and more supportive family Couples environment. who acquire selfdifferentiation skills are generally better able to maintain calm and stability in stressful situations and can instill positive behavioral patterns in their children [20]. These changes in communication and behavioral patterns reduce tension and increase a sense of psychological security within the family. By improving communication skills and the ability to manage emotions, couples can more effectively fulfill their roles within the family. These changes can play a significant role in reducing the inclination to divorce and increasing the likelihood of maintaining a shared life, especially in situations where the family is facing a crisis [17]. Therefore, self-differentiation training, as an effective intervention, can lead to improved relationships among family members and create a healthier environment for family interactions.

The study also demonstrated that selfdifferentiation training effectively improved marital intimacy among couples experiencing marital conflict at the post-test assessment. Moreover, the significant difference between pretest and follow-up scores indicates that these positive effects on marital intimacy were sustained over time. This is consistent with Sassani et al. [23], who found that selfdifferentiation training improved intimacy (along with compatibility and assertiveness) in married women, and Sehhat et al. [26], who reported increased marital intimacy and adjustment in couples who received self-differentiation training. A key aspect of self-differentiation's impact on marital intimacy relates to its influence on emotional dependency within the relationship. As Sehhat et al. [26] suggest, self-differentiation helps couples develop a better understanding of their own and their partner's needs and feelings, enabling them to establish healthier personal boundaries. This aligns with the idea that selfdifferentiation empowers individuals to participate more effectively in their marital relationships without losing their identity [21]. From this perspective, reduced reliance on unhealthy emotional dependencies can be seen as an advantage of self-differentiation training, as it fosters a more balanced and mature form of intimacy based on mutual respect and autonomy rather than neediness. However, some researchers suggest that complete independence or a very high level of differentiation might not always be beneficial for marital intimacy [27]. While reducing unhealthy dependency is crucial, a certain degree of interdependence and emotional connection is also essential for a fulfilling intimate relationship. Emami Rizi and Borun [21] indirectly touch upon this by focusing on marital satisfaction, which is likely influenced by both autonomy and connection. Therefore, the goal of self-differentiation training is not to eliminate all forms of dependency but rather to shift from unhealthy, anxious, or avoidant patterns to a more secure and balanced interdependence that promotes healthy intimacy. The result of this process is an increased sense of closeness and intimacy in couples' relationships, as individuals can express their needs and expectations clearly and respectfully.

One of the outcomes of self-differentiation training is an increased ability to empathize and actively listen to the emotional needs of one's partner. These qualities play a significant role in creating and strengthening marital intimacy [23]. By acquiring these skills, couples can manage their daily interactions in a more constructive and understanding manner, creating a safer and more pleasant space for expressing emotions. With improved self-differentiation skills, couples can better listen to each other and identify each other's emotional needs, consequently reducing previous tensions and misunderstandings and creating a suitable environment for rebuilding trust and affection [26]. These changes can lead to an increase in positive communication between couples, ultimately strengthening their marital intimacy. In conclusion, the hypothesis of this study emphasizes that self-differentiation training can increase marital intimacy among couples seeking a consensual divorce by strengthening emotional and communication abilities. This training, by increasing self-awareness and better emotion management, helps couples establish a closer and more positive relationship. As a result, the likelihood of maintaining the marital relationship increases, and a better environment is created for continuing a shared life.

While this study has certain limitations, it also possesses notable strengths. A key strength lies in its focus on a clinically relevant populationcouples experiencing marital conflict and seeking consensual divorce-providing valuable insights into the effectiveness of self-differentiation training in this specific context. Furthermore, the use of validated instruments (the Family Process Scale and the Marital Intimacy Scale) strengthens the reliability and validity of the measurements. The significant and sustained improvements observed in both family process and marital intimacy, as demonstrated by the pre-test, posttest, and follow-up data, offer evidence for the potential benefits of this intervention. However, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations. As with all quasi-experimental studies, the absence of a control group is a significant limitation. This absence reduces the power of causal inference and the validity of the results, as it is difficult to definitively rule out the influence of external variables and environmental factors on the observed improvements. Additionally, the relatively short follow-up period limits our understanding of the long-term effects of the training. The study's focus on couples in Ahvaz also limits the generalizability of the findings to couples in other cities or with different cultural backgrounds, as cultural and social differences may influence the acceptance and impact of selfdifferentiation training. Finally, the lack of control over the specific types of conflict experienced by the couples may have introduced some variability in the results.

# Conclusion

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence for the efficacy of self-differentiation training in enhancing family process and marital intimacy among couples experiencing marital conflict. The significant improvements observed in both domains, as measured by standardized scales, highlight the potential of this intervention to address the root causes of marital discord. These results contribute to the growing body of research supporting the positive impact of selfdifferentiation on interpersonal relationships. By individual autonomy. fostering healthy boundaries, and effective communication, selfdifferentiation training empowers couples to navigate conflict constructively and build stronger, more resilient partnerships. Future research may explore the optimal dosage of selfdifferentiation training, identify specific mechanisms of change, and examine the generalizability of these findings to diverse populations. Additionally, investigating the potential moderating and mediating factors that influence treatment outcomes could further refine the application of this intervention.

# **Ethical Consideration**

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Islamic Azad University, Ahvaz branch (code: IR.IAU.AHVAZ.REC.1403.168).

# Acknowledgments

We express our sincere gratitude to all the esteemed couples who participated in this study.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Funding

This study did not receive any financial assistance from public, commercial, or non-profit funding entities.

# Authors' contributions

S.F.J: Study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, and statistical analysis. K.K.M: Administrative, technical, and material support, study supervision. M.G.J: Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

# References

1. Elsayed W. Building a better society: The Vital role of Family's social values in creating a culture of giving in young Children's minds. Heliyon. 2024;10(7):e29208. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29208

2. Raley RK, Sweeney MM. Divorce, Repartnering, and Stepfamilies: A Decade in Review. Journal of Marriage and Family. 2020;82(1):81-99.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12651

3. Kharaghani R, Hosseinkhani A, Zenoozian S. The effect of schema therapy on marital conflicts: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Preventive Care in Nursing & Midwifery Journal. 2023;13(4):50-60.

https://doi.org/10.61186/pcnm.13.4.50

4. Çaksen H. The effects of parental divorce on children. Psychiatriki. 2022;33(1):81-82. https://doi.org/10.22365/jpsych.2021.040

https://doi.org/10.22365/jpsych.2021.040

5. Moral MA, Chimpén-López CA, Lyon TR, Adsuar JC. The relationship between differentiation of self and psychological adjustment to separation. Healthcare (Basel). 2021;9(6):738. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9060738</u>

6. Safaie N, Saffarieh E, Paknazar F, Mansori K, Ensafi F. The marital satisfaction status and its related factors in pregnant women in semnan, Iran: A cross-sectional study. Preventive Care in Nursing and Midwifery Journal. 2024;14(1):26-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.61186/pcnm.14.1.26</u>

7. Sheidow AJ, Henry DB, Tolan PH, Strachan MK. The role of stress exposure and family functioning in internalizing outcomes of urban families. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2014;23(8):1351-1365. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-013-9793-3

8. Samani S. Developing a family process scale for the Iranian families. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology. 2008;14(2):162-168. http://ijpcp.iums.ac.ir/article-1-466-en.html

9. Recksiedler C, Bernhardt J, Heintz-Martin V. Mothers' well-being in families and family structure: examining constellations of stressors across life domains. Journal of Family Issues. 2021;44(2):363-85. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X211048479

10. Fals-Stewart W, Lam WKK. Marital Dysfunction. In: Thomas JC, Hersen M, editors. Handbook of clinical psychology competencies. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2010. p. 983-1008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09757-2\_35</u>

11. Yousefi afrashteh M, Hayati M. Effect of an indigenous intervention program for strengthening happiness experiences on marital satisfaction, marital intimacy, and couples' happiness experiences. Preventive Care in Nursing and Midwifery Journal. 2021;11(2):1-9. https://doi.org/10.52547/pcnm.11.2.1

12. Moodi S, Mehrbakhsh Z, Amtaeh F, Moghasemi S. The comparison of the effects of training self-awareness and problem-solving skills on marital satisfaction of married women: a randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Community Based Nursing & Midwifery. 2022;10(3):184-96. https://doi.org/10.30476/ijcbnm.2022.92883.1887

13. Lebow J, Snyder DK. Couple therapy in the 2020s: Current status and emerging developments. Family Process. 2022;61(4):1359-1385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/famp.12824</u>

14. Abreu-Afonso J, Ramos MM, Queiroz-Garcia I, Leal I. How couple's relationship lasts over time? A Model for marital satisfaction. Psychological Reports. 2022;125(3):1601-1627.

#### https://doi.org/10.1177/00332941211000651

15. Tavakolian N, Hassan Lotfi M, Taheri Soodejani M, Madadizadeh F, Kalantari F. The trend of marriage, childbearing, and divorce and its determinants of socioeconomic factors on divorce in Yazd province 2016-2021: A cross-sectional study. International Journal of Reproductive BioMedicine. 2023;21(8):657-666.

#### https://doi.org/10.18502/ijrm.v21i8.14021

16. Mohammadi M, Alibakhshi Z, Sedighi M. The effect of self-differentiation training based on bowen theory on women's self-differentiation and marital satisfaction. Journal of Midwifery and Reproductive Health. 2019;7(4):1914-21. https://doi.org/10.22038/jmrh.2019.36432.1398

17. Behjati Najafabadi R. The Effect of Self-Differentiation Training on Attachment Styles and Self-Esteem in Married Women. Practice in Clinical Psychology. 2020;8(3):193-202. https://doi.org/10.32598/jpcp.8.3.629.1

18. Saadat Mehr F, Khanjani Veshki S. Effectiveness of Selfdifferentiation Training Based on Bowen's Approach on Positive Feeling Towards Spouse in Married Women in Isfahan in 2019. Journal of Community Health Research. 2021;10(3):226-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.18502/jchr.v10i3.7278</u> 19. Bowen M. Theory in the practice of psychotherapy. Family therapy; Theory and practice, New York, Gardner

Prees. 1978. 20. Mohammadi M, Alibakhshi SZ. The effectiveness of the self-differentiation training based on the Bowen theory on marital satisfaction. Journal of Research and Health. 2021;11(5):333-40.

#### https://doi.org/10.32598/JRH.11.5.1574.1

21. Emami Rizi K, Borun L. The effect of self-differentiation training on the level of marital satisfaction among married female students. Journal of Fundamentals of Mental Health. 2017;19(special issue):223-8.

#### https://doi.org/10.22038/jfmh.2017.8638

22. Hashemi A, Jafari A. The effectiveness of selfdifferentiation training on improving family process and content in demanding couples of contested divorce. Journal of Counseling Research. 2021;20(77):61-88. https://doi.org/10.18502/qjcr.v20i77.6142

23. Sassani M, Fathi Aghdam G, Pouyamanesh J, Amiri Majd M. The effectiveness of self-differentiation training on intimacy, compatibility and assertiveness of married women. Medical Sciences Journal. 2020;30(3):320-31. https://doi.org/10.29252/iau.30.3.320

24. Walker AJ, Thompson L. Intimacy and Intergenerational Aid and Contact among Mothers and Daughters. Journal of Marriage and Family. 1983;45(4):841-9. https://doi.org/10.2307/351796 25. Amadian F, Haghayegh SA. Relationship model between sexual dissatisfaction and quality of life in married obese patients with mediating role of marital intimacy. Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. 2020;28(1):2302-14. https://doi.org/10.18502/ssu.v28i1.3133 26. Sehhat N, Shafiabadi A, Aghaei A. Effectiveness of the treatment through self-differentiation on the couples' marital intimacy and adjustment. Medical Journal of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. 2021;63(6):2164-74. https://doi.org/10.22038/mjms.2021.18601

27. Ferreira LC, Narciso I, Novo RF. Intimacy, sexual desire and differentiation in Couplehood: A theoretical and methodological review. Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy. 2012;38(3):263-80.

https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2011.606885