# Preventive Care in Nursing and Midwifery Journal 2017; 7(1): 37-43

## Lifestyle Factors Aaffecting Gastro Esophageal Reflux Disease: A Cross-Sectional Study Among Healthy People in Qom-Iran

Khoobi M<sup>1</sup>, <u>Momenyan S</u><sup>2\*</sup>, Salimi S<sup>3</sup>, Sheikhhaeri MA<sup>4</sup>, Farahabadi M<sup>5</sup>, Barati A<sup>4</sup>, Heidari S<sup>6</sup>, Kazemi M<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD Student, Dept. of Nursing education, school Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>\*2</sup>PhD Student, Dept. of epidemiology and biostatistics, Qom University of medical sciences, Qom, Iran

<sup>3</sup>BSc in Nursing, Islamic Azad University, Qom Branch, Qom, Iran

<sup>4</sup>BSc in Nursing, Research Committee, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran

<sup>5</sup>BSc in Operating room, Research Committee, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran <sup>6</sup>Msc, Dept. of Nursing, school Nursing and Midwifery, Qom University of Medical Sciences. Qom, Iran

\*Corresponding Author: Dept. of epidemiology and biostatistics, Qom University of medical sciences, Qom, Iran

Email: somy.momenyan@gmail.com

Received: 24 Nov 2016 Accepted: 11 July 2017

#### Abstract

*Background:* Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common digestive system disorders. Life style factors may increase the risk of reflux disease.

*Objectives:* We aimed to estimate prevalence of reflux and related life style factors among the population live in Qom.

*Methods:* This is a cross-sectional study conducted among 1500 residents of Qom-Iran in 2014. Individuals were selected through a multi-stage sampling. They completed two questionnaires: FSSG questionnaire for diagnosis of GERD and a general questionnaire to measure demographic and lifestyle factors.

**Results:** After data manipulation, 1130 individuals were analyzed in which 52/4% of them were female. Prevalence of GERD was 28%. The adjusted findings showed using PPIs (OR: 2.2, 95% CI: 2–5), taking H<sub>2</sub>RAs (OR: 4.7, 95% CI: 2.3–9. 4), the habit of quick eating (OR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1–2), extra salt consumption on daily meals (OR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.05–2), lack of sleeping (OR: 2.6, 95% CI: 1.5–4.8), and consumption of white bread (OR: 1.7, 95% CI: 1.05–2.7) were related to increase the risk of GERD.

*Conclusion:* Our findings showed lifestyle factors such as the habit of quick eating, extra salt on regular meals, lack of sleeping and using white bread were associated with increased risk of GERD. However, the habit of midnight snack, having dinner just before bedtime, lack of breakfast, smoking, drinking tea and coffee were not associated with increasing risk of GERD. It is recommended to carry out a cohort study among Iranians to evaluate the effect of life style risk factors on GERD.

### Key words: Gastroesophageal reflux disease, FSSG (Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of GERD), Lifestyle, Risk factor, Cross-sectional

#### Introduction

Gastro esophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a public health problem which defines when the reflux of gastric contents causes troublesome and symptoms of heartburn or regurgitation. The health related to quality of life, sleep and productivity were impaired in patients with GERD and were significantly more in patients with nocturnal GERD compared to GERD patients with only daytime suffering [1].

Trend in the prevalence of GERD is increasing worldwide but seems to be higher in the West than in the East [2,3]. The population-based studies have shown that the prevalence of GERD is higher in Central (7.6-19.4%) and West Asia (12.5-27.6%) [1,4]. In Iran, the study which carried out in Tabriz, North-west of Iran, estimated that the prevalence of GERD is 2.7% [5]. Another population-based study in Tehran, capital city, reported a prevalence of 18.2% [6]. Also in Isfahan, the prevalence of GERD, sometimes degines as the presence of heartburn. it was always reported as 23.5% in the study population of 4763 adults [7]. The reasons in different prevalence of GERD worldwide is unknown, but there is a hypothesis that the genetic factor, the Helicobacter pylori prevalence and the change in life style, dietary factors might have an influence, too. However, recommended modification in lifestyle and dietary factor is still under debate [8].

Several studies have showed an increased risk of GERD symptoms to be associated with obesity, nutrition, alcohol consumption, having dinner just before bedtime, a high dietary fat intake, smoking, intake of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and sleeping position. Furthermore, several studies provided evidence that gender, age, marital status, socioeconomic status, level of education, family history, and asthma are as the potential risk factors of GERD [9].

In this large population-based survey, we aimed to assess the prevalence of GERD in Qom city (the multicultural city in Iran), in Iran (a country which has experienced main dietary changes over the past decades) and to determine the impact of socieconomic and lifestyle factors on GERD symptoms.

### Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out on 1500 residents of Qom-Iran in 2014. Individuals were selected through a multi-stage sampling-the city was divided into 8 strata, then each stratum was classified into clusters. Finally, several clusters were randomly selected and samples based on proportion to size of each clusters were randomly selected, too. Each individual over 18 years old, without gastrectomy was included in the study. All incomplete questionnaires on life style and FSSG were excluded. Therefore, 1130 individuals' data were analyzed. A selfadministered questionnaire was used to collect the data. The questionnaire had two parts: the first part included background characteristics such as age. Gender, BMI, the level of education, the income level, history of taking digestive medicine, PPIs, H2Ras, life style factors including main meal, snacks, late meal, breakfast behavior, consuming vegetables and fruits, fired meals, taking white bread, red meat, salty meals, drinking tea and coffee, alcohol, fizzy drinks and regular physical activities.

The second part of questionnaire was "Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of GERD (FSSG)" to evaluate reflux symptoms. The validity of FSSG in comparison with OUEST is acceptable with sensitivity of 36.9% and specificity of 38.9% [10,11]. To be diagnosed as GERD, the cutoff point of FSSG has been determined as greater than 10 [12]. In order to use the questionnaire in Farsi, it was translated into Farsi by translationback-translation method. The internal validity was assessed by Cronbach's alpha coefficient which calculated as 0.85. Data were analyzed using multiple logistic regression after applying a univariate model background to each characteristics or risk factors. Crude and adjusted Odds ratios were reported at 5% significance level accompanying with 95% confidence intervals. SPSS version 20 was employed for the analysis.

### Results

The study was done on 1130 individuals of whom 48% were male. Mean age of all participants were 39.4±10.0. FSSG mean score was 10.5±7.7. Based on this score, prevalence of GERD was estimated as 28%. Table 1 shows the univariate analysis results. The prevalence of GERD was not different between males and females; obese individuals had higher prevalence of GERD than normal weight (71.1 vs 62%); participants who took digestive medicine such as H<sub>2</sub>Ras and PPIs were more at the risk of getting GERD (OR=8.2, 95% CI: 4.5-15, p<0.001) and (OR=5.7, 95% CI: 2.9-11.1, p<0.001) respectively; and individual with lower level of education had less risk of GERD than higher education (OR=0.7, 95% CI: 0.5-0.9, p=0.03).

Factors			Without	With	Univariate	
Factors			GERD	GERD	OR (95% CI)	P value
Gender	N(9/)	Female	193(33.6)	382(66.4)	1.1(0.8-1.4)	0.4
	N(%)	Male	187(35.8)	335(64.2)	(reference)	(reference)
BMI	N(%)	Obese	50(28.9)	123(71.1)	1.5 (1.04-2.1)	0.03
		Overweight	125(33)	245(67)	1.2(0.9-1.6)	0.1
		Normal	214(38)	349(62)	(reference)	(reference)
Age	<b>mean</b> ±sd		39.9±10.7	39.1±9.6	0.99(0.98-1)	0.2
	N(%)	<high school<="" td=""><td>111(42.4)</td><td>151(57.6)</td><td>0.7(0.5-0.9)</td><td>0.03</td></high>	111(42.4)	151(57.6)	0.7(0.5-0.9)	0.03
Educational level		High school diploma	121(30.6)	274(69.4)	1.1(0.8-1.5)	0.3
		University	158(34.1)	306(65.9)	(reference)	(reference)
		Low	52(35.6)	94(64.4)	1.2 (0.8-2.1)	0.2
Income level	N(%)	Middle	281(33.6)	556(66.4)	1.4(1.1-2.06)	0.044
		High	56(41.8)	78(58.2)	(reference)	(reference)
Use of medications						
	PPIS N(%)	Yes	10(9.4)	96(90.6)	5.7(2.9-11.1)	< 0.001
		No	384(37.5)	640(62.5)	(reference)	(reference)
	H <sub>2</sub> Ras N(%)	Yes	12(7.3)	152(92.7)	8.2 (4.5-15)	< 0.001
D' ('		No	382(39.5)	584(60.5)	(reference)	(reference)
Digestive medications	NSAIDs N(%)	Yes	107(23.2)	354(76.8)	2.5(1.9-3.2)	< 0.001
medications		No	286(43.3)	374(56.7)	(reference)	(reference)
	Other	Yes	7(12.7)	48(87.3)	3.8(1.7-8.5)	0.001
	digestive drugs N(%)	No	385(36.2)	678(63.8)	(reference)	(reference)
64 amodula	N(%)	Yes	9(20)	36(80)	2.1(1.04-4.6)	0.03
Steroids		No	383(35.4)	698(64.6)	(reference)	(reference)
A	N(%)	Yes	14(29.8)	33(70.2)	1.2(0.6-2.4)	0.4
Antihypertensive		No	379(35.2)	699(64.8)	(reference)	(reference)
Antihyperglycemic	N(%)	Yes	7(28)	18(72)	1.3(0.5-3.3)	0.4
		No	386(35)	716(65)	(reference)	(reference)
History of disease	Cardiovasc	Yes	9(23.7)	29(76.3)	1.7(0.8-3.7)	0.1
	ular N(%)	No	384(35.3)	703(64.7)	(reference)	(reference)
	Renal	Yes	21(27.6)	55(72.4)	1.4(0.8-2.4)	0.1
	failure N(%)	No	370(35.5)	673(64.5)	(reference)	(reference)

Table 1: Association of demographic, socioeconomic, and received medications
use with GERD (Univariate analysis)

GERD: Gastro Esophageal Reflux disease; PPIS: Proton Pump Inhibitor; H<sub>2</sub>RaS: H2 Receptor Agonists or H<sub>2</sub> Blockers; NSAIDS: Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs.

Table 2 contains the univariate analysis results of life style risk factors. Among all, 11 risk factors appear to increase risk of GERD significantly: insufficient sleep (OR=3.8, 95% CI: 2.3-6.2, p<0.001), drinking fizzy drinks (OR=3, 95% CI: 1.6-5.4, p<0.001), having meals very fast (OR=2, 95% CI: 1.5-2.6, p<0.001), having salty meals (OR=2, 95% CI: 1.3-3.1, p<0.001), having snacks after main meal at night (OR=1.8, 95% CI: 1.2-2.7, p=0.001), cigarette smoking (OR=1.8, 95%

CI: 1.07-3.1, p=0.02), lack of breakfast (OR=1.6, 95% CI: 1.2-2.1, p<0.001), lack of regular physical activities (OR=1.6, 95% CI: 1.1-2.4, p=0.01), drinking tea (OR=1.6, 95% CI: 1.06-2.4, p = 0.02), having white bread (OR= 1.6, 95% CI: 1.07-2.3, p=0.02), and eating dinner late (OR=1.4, 95% CI: 1.1-1.8, p = 0.002). There was not any statistically significant relationship between drinking coffee, consuming vegetables and fruits, red meat and fried meals with the risk of GERD.

Factors		Without GERD	With GERD		
	\$7	<u>N (%)</u>	<u>N (%)</u>	OR (95%CI)	P value
Habit of	Yes	38 (23.9)	121 (76.1)	1.8 (1.2-2.7)	0.001
midnight snack	No	355 (37.1)	603 (62.9)	(reference)	(reference) <0.001
Habit of quick	Yes	131 (26.3)	367 (73.7)	2 (1.5-2.6)	
eating	No	261 (41.9)	362 (58.1)	(reference)	(reference) 0.002
Dinner just before bedtime	Yes No	<u>172 (30.6)</u> 220 (39.2)	<u>391 (69.4)</u> 341 (60.8)	1.4 (1.1-1.8) (reference)	(reference)
Frequent lack	Yes	107 (27.5)	282 (72.5)	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	<0.001
of breakfast	No	284 (38.6)	452 (61.4)	(reference)	(reference)
Habit of alcohol	Yes	23 (27.7)	60 (72.3)	1.4 (0.8-2.3)	0.1
drinking	No	370 (35.5)	672 (64.5)	(reference)	(reference)
	Current smoker	19 (23.8)	61 (76.2)	1.8 (1.07-3.1)	0.02
Habit of	Former smoker	19 (26.4)	53 (73.6)	1.5 (0.9-2.7)	0.09
smoking	Never smoker	349 (36.3)	613 (63.7)	(reference)	(reference)
	>3	98 (30.9)	219 (69.1)	1.6 (1.06-2.4)	0.02
Tea use (Cups	1-3	230 (35.7)	415 (64.3)	1.2 (0.8-1.8)	0.1
per day)	None	60 (41.7)	84 (58.3)	(reference)	(reference)
0.00	>3	4 (44.4)	5 (55.6)	0.6 (0.1-2.4)	0.5
Coffee use	1-3	46 (38)	75 (62)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	0.4
(Cups per day)	None	333 (34.5)	632 (65.5)	(reference)	(reference)
Extra salt on	Always	33 (26.6)	91 (73.4)	2 (1.3-3.1)	0.001
regular meals	Sometimes	159 (30.5)	363 (69.5)	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	< 0.001
regular meals	Never	200 (42.5)	271 (57.5)	(reference)	(reference)
	Always	20 (21.3)	74 (78.7)	3 (1.6-5.4)	< 0.001
Fizzy drink	Sometimes	301 (34.7)	567 (65.3)	1.5 (1.1-2.1)	0.01
	Never	72 (45)	88 (55)	(reference)	(reference)
	Always	25 (18.7)	109 (81.3)	3.8 (2.3-6.2)	< 0.001
Lack of sleeping	Sometimes	219 (32.7)	451 (67.3)	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	< 0.001
	Never	147 (47)	166 (53)	(reference)	(reference)
habitual	None	212 (32.8)	434 (67.2)	1.6 (1.1-2.4)	0.01
physical exercise	Max 2 h/week	126 (35.9)	225 (64.1)	1.4 (0.9-2.1)	0.09
	>2 h/week	53 (44.5)	66 (55.5)	(reference)	(reference)
Vegetables and	Frequent consumption	225 (36.1)	398 (63.9)	0.8 (0.4-1.4)	0.5
fruits	Moderate consumption	147 (33.9)	286 (66.1)	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	0.7
	<b>Rare/no consumption</b>	21 (32.3)	44 (67.7)	(reference)	(reference)
Fried food frequency	Frequent consumption	89 (31.7)	192 (68.3)	1.3 (0.7-2.3)	0.2
	Moderate consumption	276 (35.8)	494 (64.2)	1.1 (0.6-1.8)	0.6
	Rare/no consumption	26 (38.8)	41 (61.2)	(reference)	(reference)
Meat consumption frequency	Frequent consumption	86 (36.6)	149 (63.4)	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	0.7
	Moderate consumption	265 (34.3)	507 (65.7)	1.01 (0.6-1.5)	0.9
	Rare/no consumption	38 (34.5)	72 (65.5)	(reference)	(reference)
	Frequent	225 (32.8)	460 (67.2)	1.6 (1.07-2.3)	0.02
With broad	consumption		. ,	· · · ·	
With bread	Moderate consumption	110 (35.8)	197 (64.2)	1.4 (0.9-2.1)	0.1
	Rare/no consumption	51 (44)	65 (56)	(reference)	(reference)

Table 2: Association	of	life style	factor with	<b>GERD</b>	(Univariate analysis)

Finally, all significant risk factors were entered in the multiple logistic regression model (Table 3). Adjusted results showed taking  $H_2Ras$  (OR=4.7, 95% CI: 2.3-9.4, p<0.001), insufficient sleep

(OR=2.6, 95% CI: 1.5-4.8, p=0.001), taking PPIs (OR= 2.2, 95% CI: 1-5, p=0.045), consuming white bread (OR=1.7, 95% CI: 1.05-2.7, p=0.02), having meals very fast (OR=1.5, 95% CI: 1.1-2,

p=0.008), having salty meals (OR=1.5, 95% CI: 1.05-2, p=0.02), and education level (OR=0.5, 95% CI: 0.3-0.8, p=0.004) were statistically significant risk factors for GERD.

	Factor	Multivariate			
	OR	95% CI	P value		
	<high school<="" th=""><th>0.5</th><th>0.3-0.8</th><th>0.004</th></high>	0.5	0.3-0.8	0.004	
Educational level	High school graduation	1.1	0.7-1.5	0.6	
	University		Reference		
Use of PPIs	Yes	2.2	1-5	0.045	
	No		Referenc	e	
Use of H <sub>2</sub> Ras	Yes	4.7	2.3-9.4	< 0.001	
	No		Referenc	e	
Use of NSAIDs	Yes	1.6	1.2-2.3	0.002	
Use of INSAIDS	No		Referenc	e	
Habit of quick	Yes	1.5	1.1-2	0.008	
eating	No		Referenc	e	
Extra salt on regular meals	Always	1.2	0.7-2	0.4	
	Sometimes	1.5	1.05-2	0.02	
	Never		Referenc	e	
	Always	2.6	1.5-4.8	0.001	
Lack of sleeping	Sometimes	1.6	1.2-2.3	0.003	
	Never		Referenc	e	
	Frequent consumption	1.7	1.05-2.7	0.02	
With bread	Moderate consumption	1.5	0.9-2.6	0.09	
	Rare/no consumption		Referenc	e	

Table 3: Significant factors associated with GERD (Multivariate analysis)

PPIS: Proton Pump Inhibitor; H<sub>2</sub>RaS: H2 Receptor Agonists or H<sub>2</sub> Blockers; NSAIDS: Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs.

#### Discussion

Our findings indicate that the prevalence of GPRD was about 28%. The most important risk factors were taking  $H_2RAs$  medicine, insufficient sleep, taking PPIs medicine, consumption of white bread, having meals very fast, taking in extra salt with food, and education level respectively.

Based on our search at the time, a few studies were done about the relationship between the prevalence of GPRD and its risk factors in Iran [5-7] .In this study the prevalence of GPRD was estimated as 28%, while previous studies reported 18.2 & 12.3% in Tehran and Gonbad-Kavous respectively [6,13]. The prevalence of GPRD in Iran has been reported much higher than the other Asian countries. However, Iran GPRD prevalence is comparable with the one in the USA, Turkey, southern India, Greek and Moscow [1,4,14,15]. The less prevalence of GPRD in Asian countries were attributed to consuming less oily foods [1,9]. The least GPRD prevalence was reported for China and the most for the USA [1].

We did not find any significant relation between the age and GPRD like three other studies [9,16,17]; two studies reported a positive relation, [18,19] and two reverse relation [20,21]. Our results may be due to age range of participants which was low.

Univariate analysis showed that obesity is a predictor of GPRD, however, adjusted results did not. Yamamichi reported adjusted BMI as a predictor of GPRD [2]. Other studies also showed BMI as the predictor of GPRD [6,9,22-24]. HUNT2, a population based study, provided some evidence that obesity had a positive relationship

with GPRD [25]. Furthermore, a systematic review [26] also showed a significant relationship between obesity and GPRD. Our results in regard of obesity may be due to low sample size.

Taking H<sub>2</sub>RAs and PPIs in both unadjusted and adjusted analysis were appeared to be significant predictors of GPRD. This result was also shown by Yamamichi et al [2]. This study evaluated the relationship between GPRD and the wide range of life style risk factors. Among them, the habit of midnight snack, quick eating, having dinner very late, not having breakfast, drinking tea, taking extra salt when having dinners, fizzy drinks, insufficient sleep, and consumption of white bread appeared to be statistically significant predictors of GPRD. All above mentioned adjusted risk factors in the Japanese study [2] were also confirmed as statistically significant predictors of GPRD. The most significant adjusted variables were insufficient sleep, obesity, having late dinner, and having snack at night time respectively.

We did not find any relationship between neither drinking coffee and GPRD, nor8 did not Nilsson et al [27], Nocon et al [23] and Nasseri et al [6]. However, Yamamichi et al [2] found a moderate significant relationship. In this study, there was no evidence against red meat and GPRD, and in turn, fat. Nocon et al [23], Nasseri et al [6] and Çela et al [9], found similar results. Matsuki et al [28] in NERD patient group, and El-Serag et al [29] reported lipid as a GPRD risk factor. Consumption of extra salt at the time of having meals was reported as a significant predictor of GPRD [27], however, Matsuki et al [28] did not provide any important evidence against it.

The association between smoking and GPRD was confirmed in our findings and by [6,9,23,30]. Different studies showed different results in different directions on relationship between regular exercise (physical activity) and GPRD [5,27,31-33]. This study provided a pattern against lack of exercise, the higher number of exercise, the lower risk of GPRD.

This study has some strong advantage including the sample size. However, this is a cross-sectional study and prone to usual pitfalls of such studies. This cross-sectional study showed a high prevalence of GPRD in Iran and provided evidence on the association between GPRD and taking  $H_2RAs$  medicine, insufficient sleep, taking PPIs medicine, consumption of white bread, having meals very fast, and taking in extra salt with food

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to appreciate staff of Qom University of medical Sciences who kindly participated in our study. The study protocol was approved by Qom University of medical Sciences and Ethic Committee of Qom University of Medical Sciences with number of 135 and MUQ.REC.1393.135 respectively at November 2014.

An informed written consent was obtained from the patients. Also no conflict of interest has been declared by the authors.

## **Funding:**

This project was financially supported by Qom University of Medical Sciences.

### References

1. Yamamichi N, Mochizuki S, Asada-Hirayama I, et al. Lifestyle factors affecting gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms: a cross-sectional study of healthy 19864 adults using FSSG scores. BMC Med. 2012; 10: 45.

2. Çela L, Kraja B, Hoti K, et al. Lifestyle characteristics and gastroesophageal reflux disease: a population-based study in Albania. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2013; 2013.

3. Nocon M, Labenz J, Willich S. Lifestyle factors and symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux–a population-based study. Aliment pharmacol ther. 2006; 23(1): 169-74.

4. Nilsson M, Johnsen R, Ye W, Hveem K, Lagergren J. Lifestyle related risk factors in the aetiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux. Gut. 2004; 53(12): 1730-35.

5. Mohammed I, Nightingale P, Trudgill N. Risk factors for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease symptoms: a community study. Aliment pharmacol ther. 2005; 21(7): 821-7.

6. Djärv T, Wikman A, Nordenstedt H, Johar A, Lagergren J, Lagergren P. Physical activity, obesity and gastroesophageal reflux disease in the general population. World J Gastroenterol. 2012; 18(28): 3710-14.

7. Khoshbaten M, Mehrangiz E, Saghfiasl M, Arefhossini S. The role of diet composition and body mass index in gastro-esophageal reflux disease. Med J Tabriz Univ Med Sci. 2005; 3(30): 41-46. [In Persian]

8. Nandurkar S, Locke Gr, Fett S, Zinsmeister A, Cameron A, Talley N. Relationship between body mass index, diet, exercise and gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms in a community. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2004; 20(5): 497-505.

9. Nasseri-Moghaddam S, Mofid A, Ghotbi MH, et al. Epidemiological study of gastro -oesophageal reflux disease: reflux in spouse as a risk factor. Aliment pharmacol Ther. 2008; 28(1): 144-53.

10. Nonaka T, Kessoku T, Ogawa Y, et al. Comparative study of 2 different questionnaires in Japanese patients: the quality of life and utility evaluation survey technology questionnaire (QUEST) versus the frequency scale for the symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease questionnaire (FSSG). J Neurogastroenterol motil. 2013; 19(1): 54-60.

11. Danjo A, Yamaguchi K, Fujimoto K, et al. Comparison of endoscopic findings with symptom assessment systems (FSSG and QUEST) for gastroesophageal reflux disease in Japanese centres. J gastroenterol hepatol. 2009; 24(4): 633-38.

12. Kusano M, Shimoyama Y, Sugimoto S, et al. Development and evaluation of FSSG: frequency scale for the symptoms of GERD. J gastroenterol. 2004; 39(9): 888-91.

13. Aletaha N, Akram P, Norae S, Shahakbar F, Falakzade R. In the city of Gonbad-e Qabus gastroesophageal reflux disease: prevalence and risk factors. J Med Counc I.R. Iran. 2009; 28(2): 176-83. [In Persian]

14. Ronkainen J, Agréus L. Epidemiology of reflux symptoms and GORD. Best Prac Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2013; 27(3): 325-37.

15. Stanghellini V. Three-month prevalence rates of gastrointestinal symptoms and the influence of demographic factors: results from the

Domestic/InternationalGastroenterologySurveillanceStudy(DIGEST).ScandJGastroenterol suppl.1999; 34(231): 20-28.

16. Bolin TD, Korman MG, Hansky J, Stanton R. Heartburn: community perceptions. J gastroenterol hepatolo. 2000; 15(1): 35-39.

17. Locke Gr, Talley NJ, Fett SL, Zinsmeister AR, Melton Lr. Prevalence and clinical spectrum of gastroesophageal reflux: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Gastroenterology. 1997; 112(5): 1448-56.

18. Farup C, Kleinman L, Sloan S, Ganoczy D, Chee E, Lee C, et al. The impact of nocturnal symptoms associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease on health-related quality of life. Arch Intern Med. 2001; 161(1): 45-52.

19. Kennedy T, Jones R. The prevalence of gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms in a UK population and the consultation behaviour of patients with these symptoms. Aliment pharmacol Ther. 2000; 14(12): 1589-94.

20. Haque M, Wyeth J, Stace N, Talley N, Green R. Prevalence, severity and associated features of gastro-oesophageal reflux and dyspepsia: a population-based study. N Z Med J. 2000; 113(1110): 178-81.

21. Kraja B, Burazeri G, Prifti S. Anthropometric indices and gastro-esophageal reflux disease in adult population in Tirana, Albania. Med arh. 2008; 62(3): 139-41.

22. Fujimoto K. Review article: prevalence and epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in Japan. Aliment pharmacol Ther. 2004; 20(8): 5-8.

23. Hampel H, Abraham NS, El-Serag HB. Metaanalysis: obesity and the risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease and its complications. Ann Intern Med. 2005; 143(3): 199-211.

24. Matsuki N, Fujita T, Watanabe N, et al. Lifestyle factors associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease in the Japanese population. J Gastroenterol. 2013; 48(3): 340-49.

25. El-Serag HB, Satia JA, Rabeneck L. Dietary intake and the risk of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a cross sectional study in volunteers. Gut. 2005; 54(1): 11-17.